

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/631,124	07/31/2003	Joseph W. Kolis	CLM-7B	2469
29698 759	90 11/02/2005		EXAMINER	
LEIGH P. GREGORY ATTORNEY AT LAW PO BOX 168 CLEMSON, SC 29633-0168			BOS, STEVEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/631,124	KOLIS, JOSEPH W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Steven Bos pears on the cover sheet with the	1754			
Period f						
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f , cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	<u>ugust 2005</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by th	ie Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	,	•			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπ	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	vived			
٠.	see the attached detailed office deticit for a list	or the definited copies not rece	ived.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-2003</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/631,124

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 6,7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on August 29, 2005.

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5,8,9 in the reply filed on August 29, 2005 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giesber et al. "Spectroscopic properties of ... LaBO3 and GdBO3".

Giesber et al. suggest the instantly claimed products in the abstract and pg. 8987, right hand column and Fig. 2. Also taught is the instantly disclosed process of making the instantly claimed product at pg. 8988, section II to pg. 8989. The taught

Application/Control Number: 10/631,124

Art Unit: 1754

process refers to previous work by the instant inventor as footnote 10 which should be made of record in the instant application as the examiner does not have ready access to this document.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Claims 1-5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolis, "Getting back to bases: Hydrothermal synthesis as a route to lanthanide borates with useful deep UV optoelectronic applications".

Kolis suggests the instantly claimed product as well as its process of making in the abstract. Further information is needed as to exactly what was presented by the inventor/author at the ACS National Meeting in San Francisco.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Claims 1-5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giesber et al. "Hydrothermally grown borate single crystals for deep ultraviolet and nonlinear optical applications."

Giesber et al. suggest the instantly claimed products in pg. 42. Also taught is the instantly disclosed process of making the instantly claimed product. Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, or are produced by

Art Unit: 1754

identical or substantially identical process(es) the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W,F, 8AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stayen Bos Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754